

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/769,376	02/02/2004	David C. Saccente		7901
7590 12/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
David C. Saccente			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
33 Robinson Av Medford, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	10/769,376	SACCENTE ET AL.	Ë				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wil	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. I'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any object		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the drawing(by the Examiner. Note the attached).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a a) All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have been received.						
	documents have been received in Ap	·					
,	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage					
• •	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not i	eceivea.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/769,376

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "previously determined tool accessories and trays" lacks antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katson et al. in view of Bailey and Batten. Katson shows the claimed ladder with the exception of the tapered sections, cross brace members, and the affixed locking pins. Bailey shows a ladder having tapered sections and cross brace members 20,21. Batten shows an affixed locking pin at 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Katson to comprise tapered sections and cross brace members, as taught by Bailey, to enhance stability of his ladder and to reinforce his rungs, respectively, and to comprise affixed locking pins, in lieu of his, for locking his sections in selective

positions, Furthermore, to provide Katson with conventional friction reducing means to facilitate relative sliding of his sections, to construct his rungs to be welded or riveted, as is well known in the art, and to make the ladder of the claimed height and width depending on the reach and stability desired, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katson, Bailey and Batten, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cornejo et al. Cornejo shows text, graphics and indicia. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the ladder of Katson with text, graphics and indicia, as taught by Cornejo, as an indicating means.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katson, Bailey and Batten, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Irish. Irish shows a tray for tool accessories. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the ladder of Katson with a tray for tool accessories, as taught by Irish, for a user convenience.

The continuation data is incorrect. It appears that the parent case is SN. 10/055665 which was abandoned 4.17.03 before the filing of the present application.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,376

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 3634